

GOE



Agenda Item No. 1(D)3

Date:

February 13, 2007

To:

Honorable Carlos Alvarez, Mayor

Honorable Chairman Brung A. Barreiro

and Members, Board of Commissioners

From:

George M. Burgess

County Manager

Subject:

Congratulations to the Permitting and Inspection Center for their 2006 Customer

Survey Results

Please join me in congratulating the Permitting and Inspection Center (PIC Center) for their customer survey results. The survey efforts took place daily throughout the calendar year; by secret submittal or with name inclusion, at the discretion of our customer. Four out of five of our reporting customers which included developers, contractors, homeowners and others told us that County staff provided "EXCELLENT" service.

To put this data into perspective, 1,282 legible customer surveys were received in 2006 (more than a hundred a month on average). As you can see in the attached summary, full 80 percent (1,014) of reporting customers rated the County's PIC Center services as excellent!

The eight departments performing the County's land use, permitting and inspection processes are working with my staff to turn the County's departmental processes into an efficient, functionally-driven team process. I want to acknowledge the following departments for their contributions and efforts which have played an integral part in the improvement process:

- Public Works Department (Esther Calas)
- Department of Planning and Zoning (Diane O'Quinn Williams)
- Building Department (Charles Danger)
- Environmental Resources Management (Carlos Espinosa)
- Building Code Compliance Office (Herminio Gonzalez)
- Fire Rescue (Chief Herminio Lorenzo)
- Water and Sewer Department (John Renfrow)
- Property Appraisal Department (Marcus Saiz)

Please join me in congratulating them and their dedicated employees for providing an environment wherein four out of five of our reporting customers tell us they are pleased with our services.

Attachment

c: Assistant County Managers
Listed Department Directors
Development Coordinator
Assistants to the County Manager

2006 CUSTOMER SERVICE SUMMARY Miami-Dade County Permitting and Inspection Center

For the period January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2006, 4 out of 5 of the County's reporting customers said that County staff provided excellent services at the Permitting and Inspection Center (PIC Center).

The survey responses were provided on our secret shopper form, a copy of which is attached for your review. Most customers completing the secret surveys did so anonymously, and we are pleased that 20 percent provided contact information allowing follow-up.

A full 80 percent of reporting customers completed the surveys indicating that the County provided above average or, most often, excellent service. In determining the 80 percent figure, a completed survey predominately showed ratings of "4" and/or "5" on the form. Of this 80 percent, by far most individual surveys showed "5" ratings on most of the 10 survey questions. Please note on the attached survey form that the rating scale equals "1" poor service to "5" excellent service.

With regard to the 20 percent reporting service concerns, the completed surveys were used by management to identify service problem areas for improvement action.

Department:	% of 2006 surveys "excellent":	% of all 2006 surveys received:	
Dept of Environmental Resources Management	95%	16%	
Building Code Compliance Office*	91%	1%	
General Services Admin**	88%	1%	
Dept of Planning and Zoning	80%	10%	
Water and Sewer Dept	80%	6%	
Fire Rescue Dept	79%	5%	
Building Department	77%	56%	
Public Works Dept	74%	2%	
Clerk of Court	37%	3%	
2006 overall average:	80%	100%	

^{*}BCCO at PIC Center for second half of 2006; less than 1% of total surveys received
** GSA rated for PIC Center appearance, convenience; less than 1% of total surveys received

Attachment

Miami-Dade County Secret Shopper Program PERMITTING AND INSPECTION CENTER - CUSTOMER SERVICE EVALUATION FORM

Please help us enhance our service by completing this form and depositing it in the "Secret Shopper" drop-box.

PLEASE COMPLETE A SEPARATE FORM FOR EACH DEPARTMENT OR SECTION VISITED

PLEASE COMPLETE AS	CEARALE FUNIVITUR	EVELI DEIVERDAPAT O	N SECTION TISHED	
	Cachina	A Mahumatan Sansa.	I Perrit Section	
Building Cook Compliance		Halishnigesin esinen Lalebbyk Lalebration center	Application latake	
marting to be discoulded the substitute of the same that were and the fact.	Centificates of Use	(1st fl. Plan Review Area)	Plan Review	
Clerk of Courts	Concurrency	(2nd f. Inspectors Area)	Septic Tank Program/ Water Well	
DERM	Contractor Licensing	Inspectors		
Fire	County Recorder	New Business Section	1	
Health Department		1.3		
Planning and Zoning	Impact Fees	Paving and Drainage		
Public Worls	Date and Time Visited:			
Water and Sever	Reason for your Visit:	LI DATINGS		
Please score the following e	EVALUATIO! Con a scription on a scription) to five. 5. (excellent	
service). Also, please provid				
GREETING		,	SCORE	
1. I was greeted in a timely,	ve minutes, and/or no mor		1 2 3 4 5 Poor Excellent Service	
2. Service was prompt. (Acknowledged within 30 seconds, and salutation included employee's name.)			1 2 3 4 5 Poor Excellent Service	
REQUEST/SERVICE (Provide employee name, if available) 3. Employee listened and gave full attention. (Showed patience, attentiveness, exhibited interest throughout conversation.) Requirements of the service service service.				
 Employee was knowledgeable and professional. (Knew requested process/fees information and competently handled request.) 			1 2 3 4 5 Poor Excellent Service Service	
Employee had access to necessary tools to meet request. (Information and material to obtain answers and/or services was readily available.)			1 2 3 4 5 Poor Excellent Service Service	
 I received the service/info (All of my questions or the understandable, concise 	ne entire service was prov	vided in an	1 2 3 4 5 Poor Excellent Service Service	
 Overall office employees (Office environment was appropriately and tasteful 	professional; and emplor	ce were professional. yee was dressed	1 2 3 4 5 Poor Excellent Service Service	
OVERALL SERVICE 8. Employee went the extra (Employee took responsitive, helpful attitude provided me follow-up in	bility for providing answe and followed through wi	est. ers to my request; had a th request. If applicable,	1 2 3 4 5 Poor Excellent Service Service	
9. The surroundings were of (Office was clean and free	lean and well maintained se of debris, furniture was	j. s not in disrepair.)	1 2 3 4 5 Poer Service Service	
10. The overall impression o	_	•	1 2 3 4 5 Poor Excellent Service Service	
Any comments?				
Idea for better service:				
IF, you would like us to contact you, please provide your name and contact information here				
Thank you for your particip	pation!	en Tell film film and growth of techniques or y supplications you are not the film and you will be the supplications of the supplinations of the supplications of the supplications of the supplica	123_01-111 7/06	

3